Previous references.
Cs-148 of 04 & 366
13 Dec. 04

1906
(Courts)

File No. 14 of 06
Serial Nos. 1-10

Later references.
Cs 319-14.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-10

Part B. for Jany 08

SUBJECT.

Suit for the recovery of the amount of security bond executed by Chennasekharaiah, late Manager of Principal Munsiff's Court, Bangalore.

Table of Contents.

From The D.C. Bangalore No 8.53 dt 13.7.06
Submits a statement of expenses incurred by Public Prosecutor, Bangalore for filing the above suit & that Rs 45-12-9 have been remitted thereto in G.O. No 9 1494-96 dt 18.12.04 and requests sanction for the remainder Rs 15-1-6.

2. To the same and the Comptroller No 9 334-35 dt 13 8-9
Sanctions the above & forwards the bill & the G. R. S. 58 Comptroller for audit & requests the D C to report the result of the action taken for the recovery of the amount due.

From the D.C. Bandarawela No 682 Dt 6.3.07.
Reports the adjustment of Rs 15-1-6 referred to in the above

To the same No G 2866 Dt 18.3.07
States that the report called for in Sl No 2 was not in regard of the sum referred to in Sl No but in regard to the recovery of Rs 300/- due on the security bond executed by Cyril Soysa Weerasekera and requests him to submit the same at an early date.

5. From the D.C. Bandarawela No 713 Dt 25.3.07
Forwards copy of the letter from the Public Prosecutor on the result of the suits filed against Thamotheram and his partners and states that Rs 165 have been realised from the properties attached and sold and states that the Amildar of the Bo-pahalawa D.K. has been directed to make enquiries enquiries if the defendants possess any more property and to inform the Public Prosecutor of the result of the same.

6. To the D.C. Bandarawela No 3040 Dt 2.4.07
Requests him to report in due course as the result of the enquiries made by the Amildar regarding the further steps to be taken for the recovery of the balance.

7. From the same No S. 215 Dt. 11.11.07
Reports that the sureties have no property to proceed against; that they are living on the charities of their relatives, and that it is not possible to recover the sum and requests that the amount may be written off the accounts.

8. To the D.C. Bandarawela No G 1700 Dt. 2.12.07
Enquires why proceedings in execution should not be taken against the right title & interest of Naranpanawa in the house in which it is said he only mortgaged by him and the same under litigation now.

Please see back folio

G. R. S. 11] 1906

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(III Courts.)

NOTES.

File. No 14-06

FILE HEADING.

Suit for the recovery of the amount of security bond executed by Chandrasekharaiya, late Nazir of the Principal Munsiffs Court, Bangalore.

From

The Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District

No. S.53 Dated 13.7.06 Received 17-7-06

Diary No. 9178	Enclos. one	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Submits a statement of expenses incurred by the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore Division for filing ~~a suit~~ the above suit states that a sum of Rs 45-12-9 has been sanctioned thereto in G.O. No. 9 1494-6 Judl X/ct 168-2 dt: the 8th Dec 1906 and requests that sanction may be accorded to the balance, viz Rs 15-1-6-

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Record in B
Proc 6-8-06
Judl X
Cls 3 No 168-04-05
S-11691-2, B Dec 04.

The facts of the case are contained in the office Note to the Collection pulup. as a reference. Submit with a draft order sanctioning the present bill

To 1st Court

619
20/7/06

SW
18/7/06

18-7-06

Rejected as the Sanction is not necessary

19-7-06

NOTES—First sheet.

MGCPB—30,000—17-2-05.

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

05 File No. 14 of 1906.

Serial No.	
2	To the Commissioner, Bangalore & the Comptroller in his (with the bill). Rs 334-35 of 3.8.06. Sanctions the bill for Rs 15-1-6 & forwards the same to the Comptroller for audit; and requests the Commr to report the result of the action taken for the recovery of the amount due.
3	From the Deputy Comr of the Bangalore Dt No 682 of 6/3/07. Reports the adjustment of Rs 15-1-6 referred to in the above. Stated that out of Rs 15-1-6, Rs. 4-3-3 was adjusted in the Huzur Treasury towards the amount of Rs 50 drawn as advance to the Public Prosecutor, Bangalore under the authority G.O. No 9951-3/9565 of 21/11/01 to file a suit against Chandrasekharaiah, and that the remaining Rs 10-14-3 was paid to the Public Prosecutor as that amount had been advanced to him, as reported in his letter No 53 of 16/5/06. Draft recording the above submitted. No 11/3/07. 11/3/07. Done. Find G.O. No 9951-3/9565, of 21/11/01 in the file. Submitted with reference. 11/3/07.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23-10-05

11/3/07

G.R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 14 of 1906.

Serial No.	
	Draft submitted as per personal discussions No SW 14/3/07 14/3 June 18/3/07
4.	To the Deputy Comr of Bangalore Dt. No 9 2866 dt 18/3/07 States that the report called for in his P.O. No 9 334-335 dt 3/8/06 was not in respect of the sum referred to in ¶ 3 but in regard to the recovery of Rs 300 due on the security bond executed by Chandrasekharaiya and requests him to submit the same at an early date. From the Deputy Comr of the Bangalore Dt No 715 dt 25/3/07 Forwards copy of letter received from the Public Prosecutor on the result of the suits filed against Chandrasekharaiya and his sureties and states that a sum of Rs 165 has been realized from the properties attached and sold and that the Tahsildar of the Bangalore Taluk has been directed to make enquiries if the defendants possess any more property and to inform the Public Prosecutor the result of the same so as to enable him to take further steps for the recovery of the balance

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—50,000—23 10-05

Serial No.	
	May the result of the enquiry be awaited Draft letter to the Deputy Court of Bangalore Submitted
X	Mrs SN 30/3/07 Issued 30/3
6	To the Deputy Court of the Bangalore Dt No 3040 of 2.4.07 Request him to report in due course the result of the enquiry made by the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk respecting further steps to be taken for the recovery of the balance still due on the Security bond
	13 No reply has yet been received may a reminder issue. Draft Submd
5/7	Mrs 5/7/07 SN 5/7/07 14 Issue AM 5/7
7	From the Deputy Court of the Bangalore Dt- No 215- of 11.11.07. Reports that the Sureties have no property to proceed against-, and that they are living on the charity of their relatives and that it is not possible to recover the amount and suggests that the amount may be written off the accounts

NOTE—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

6b File No. 16 of 1906.

Serial No.

1 It appears from the Vernacular records that the two sureties Palyam Padmanabhayya and Narayan Rao, the house of the former in Hulsoor pet ~~Valued~~ has been mortgaged to one Ramayya for 12.50 Rs and that he was declared an insolvent some 6 years ago and that the latter's ~~the~~ the subject matter of litigation, having been mortgaged to 150 Rs to one Ranganadappa and that he is living with one of his relatives they have no other means to discharge the obligation. The amount that has to be recovered yet is 30 Rs - 165 or 135 Rs. Submitted for orders

MS CN
19.11.07.

16 Please enquire of the Deputy Comr. why proceedings in execution should not be taken against the right title and interest of Narayana Rao in the house which is said to be only mortgaged by him and to be under litigation now.-

23.11.07 DMW

Draft Subd 18 Issue

MS SN
26.11.07/26.11.07. 27-11-07 DMW

To the Dy Commissioner of Bangalore

No 11700 G.A. 12-

makes the above enquiry

Note—Contn. sheet

GPB—40,000—12 5-06

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

C to File No. 4 of 1906-07.

Serial No. 9

From the Dy Commissioner, Bangalore.

No S. 292 & 21.12.1907

States that the Public Prosecutor reports that the house in question was once got attached and proclaimed for sale; but no one present at the time of sale came forward to bid owing to the house being highly encumbered & hence no attempt was made to proceed against the property of Narayanarao

19 Submitted for orders. If the amount cannot be recovered, it has to be written off the accounts.

28/12/07 Hcg

30/12/07 SP

The decree may perhaps be kept alive and the result of the litigation which is said to be pending, awaited.

Secy). 30.12.07. MMS

3/1/08

Yr

Hcg 3/1/08.

1 v Put up draft reply to the D.C.

7.1.08. MMS

7/1 Hcg Submitted with a draft.

10/1/08 SP 10/1/08 Issue

13.1.08 MMS

10 Rs ✓ 11/1/08

NOTE—Contn sheet.

GPB—50,000—17-3-07

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

C6 File No. 14 of 1907.

Serial No.	
10.	To the Dy Comr, Bangalore, No J 2126 dt 13.1.08. In reply to Sl No 9, states that the decree against the Sowcar & his sureties may be kept alive pending the result of the litigation in connection with the house mortgaged by one of the sureties Narayanarao

Note—Contn sheet.

UPB—50,000—17-3-07

Regd. per B.P.R.

G-1496-07 From

SNo-1. The Dy. Commissioner

Bangalore Dist.

Mulk 1896-07 Courts 1178

17.76

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore

Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 13.

Despatched 16 May 1906.

Received

General No.

✓ No. 53

Enclosure No. 1

G.P.J 1494-6/C. 148-2 of 8.12.04

forwards for sanction and audit a fresh bill for Rs. 60-14-3. expenses incurred in C.S. 526 of 1901-02 on the file of the Sub Judge, Bangalore.

S. 53
E. 1

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
13 July 1906

From H. H. Starkey Esquire
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esquire B.A.B.L.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Sir,

With reference to Govt. Proceedings No. J 1494-6/148-2 dated 8 December 1904, according sanction to a bill for Rs. 45.12.9, being the expenses incurred in filing O.S. No. 526 of 1901-02 in the Court of the Subordinate Judge of Bangalore for the recovery of a sum of Rs. 3000/- on the security bond executed by Chandrasekharaiya, late Nazir of the Principal Munsiff's Court at Bangalore, I have the honor to submit herewith a fresh bill for Rs. 60.14.3, being the total expenses incurred in the course of the recovery which included the amount sanctioned

Mr Govt. Proceedings cited above which has already been adjusted and to request that Government sanction may be kindly obtained for the balance of Rs. 15-1-6 and the bill forwarded to the Comptroller for audit.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obed't. servant,

H.H. Sparke
Dy. Commissioner

HHS

3

G.R.S. 28]

Cls

File No. 4-06.

Serial No. 2.

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

( )

, *the* 190

Date of issue 3.8.1906.

Signed by Mr. H. Mathan

READ.—

Govt Proceedings Dr. X 1494-6 C.148-2 dated the 8th December 1904, sanc-tioning a bill for Rs 45-12-9 being the expenses incurred by the Public Prosecutor of the Bangalore Division in filing a suit ~~for~~ in the Court of the Subordinate judge of Bangalore for the recovery of a sum of Rs 300 on the security bond executed by Chandrasekharaiya, late Nazir of the Principal Munsiffs Court, Bangalore & his two sureties.

~~ORDER THEREON. No.~~

Flag X

over

SUBJECT

2. Letter No. S.53 dated the 13th July 906, from the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore District, submitting a fresh bill for Rs 60-14-3 being the total expenses incurred upto the 28th Febr 1906 in the Course of the recovery of the amount referred to in the Govt. Proceedings read above and requesting that ~~Sanction may be accorded to the balance after deducting the amount which has already sanctioned~~ the additional expenditure of Rs 15-1-6 may be sanctioned.

Order thereon No. 334-335 dt 3-8-1906.
~~Cds 326 05-3~~ 14-06-2

The bill received with the letter read above is sanctioned for Rs 15-1-6 and forwarded to the Comptroller for audit.

6-8-06

2. The Deputy Commissioner is requested to report the result of the action taken for the recovery of the amount due.

Copied by ML 2/8/06
Extd by ME

19-7-06.
Secretary

ME 18-7-06
To the Deputy Commissioner with the bill.

E 483.7 C68 1906-7 3
J 2239

From Ch 14-06.
The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore city

To
The Secy. to Govt. of Mysore
Gen. Revenue Depts.

Dated 6.

Despatched March 1907.

Received

General No.

No. 682

Enclosure No.

---

this No. J 334-35 of 3.3.06.
Ch. 14.06.2

Reports re the recovery
of Rs. 15.1.6 amount
Sanctioned for filling a
Tank

No. 682. Bangalore Dist.
Dy. Commissioner's Office
6 March 1907.

From H. H. Shortt Esquire
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist

To K. S. Chandrasekhara Iyer Esq.
B. A. B. L.
Dep. to the Govt of Mysore
Gen. Secs Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your No. J 8334-35 Ch 14-06-2 (2)
of 3rd August 1906, calling for a report in the recovery of Rs. 15-1-6 being the amount sanctioned in filing a suit for the recovery of Rs. 300/- on the security bond executed by Chandrasekhariah, late Nazir, Principal Munsiff's Court, Bangalore, I have the honor to state that out of Rs. 15-1-6, Rs 4-3-3 was adjusted in the Huzur Treasury towards the advanced amount and the remaining Rs. 10-14-3 was paid to the Public Prosecutor, as that amount had been advanced by him.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. servant,
H. H. Shortt
Dy. Commissioner

MMJ

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 14-06
Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 92239

No. 92866

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Courts)
, *the* 190 .

To

The Deputy Commissioner
of the Bangalore District

Date of issue 18.3.07

Signed. by for Chamberlain

Adverting to correspondence ending with his letter no 8682 dated the 6th March 1907, reporting the recovery and adjustment of Rs 15-1-6 ~~in connection with~~ Expenses incurred ~~on account of~~ the filing of suit against Chandrasekhariah, late Nazir, Principal Munsiff's Court, Bangalore, has the honor to state that the report ~~required to be~~ called for ~~submitted~~ in G.O. no 336-335 Ch 14-06-2

over.

SUBJECT.

of 3/5/1906 was not ~~about~~ in
~~the adjustment~~ respect of Rs. 15-1-6 this was
~~referred to about~~ but ~~about~~ in regard to
the recovery of ~~the dues~~
~~amount of~~ Rs 300 due on the Recruiting bond executed by Chandra -
~~with the Court and to request~~ Lekhania and his two sureties
that the same may be
submitted at an early
date.

930

W 18/3/07
for Secretary

No. 1117
14/3/07 14/3/07

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

S

Ch 14-06.

J 2456

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to Govt. of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 25

Despatched March 1907

Received

General No.

S No. 715

Enclosure No. one

His No J 2866 of 18.3.07.
Ch. 14-06-4

—

Reports re the recovery of Rs. 300/- due on the security bond executed by Chandrasekhariah and his two sureties.

Bangalore District.
Deputy Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 25th March 1907.

S.No.

From H. H. Sparkes Esquire.
Deputy Commissioner.
Bangalore District.

To R. S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar Esquire BABL.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General & Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to your docket No. J. 2866 dated 18th March 1907, calling Cls 14-06-4
for the submission of the report referred to in Government-Order No. J 334-335 Cls 14-06-2
dated 3rd August 1906, re the recovery of Rs 308 due on the Security bond executed by Chandrasekhariah and his two Sureties, I have the honor to forward herewith copy of the letter received

received from the Public Prosecutor on the result of the suits filed against the persons, and to state that, it will be seen therefrom that a sum of Rs 165-/. has been Realized from the properties attached and sold. The Bangalore Taluk Amildar has been directed to make enquiries if the defendants possess any more property and to inform the same to the Public Prosecutor to take further steps for the recovery of the balance.

The Public Prosecutor has also been informed of the same.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Illegible

my Dy Commissioner.

G.R.S. 26]

C/o File No. 4-06
Serial No. 6

Diary No. of letter replied to J 2456

No. J 3640

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Courts)
, *the* 190 .

Date of issue 2.4.07

Signed by ~~Mr Mathew~~

To
The Deputy Commissioner
of the Bangalore Dt

Sir,

Adverting to correspondence ending with your letter no S 768 dated the 25th March 1907 regarding the recovery of Rs 300 due on the security bond executed by Chandrasekharaiah, Lali Nazir, Principal Munsiff's Court, Bangalore and his two sureties, I am directed to request you to be so good as to report the result of the enquiry made by the Amildar of the Bangalore Taluk respecting the recovery of the said amount and the further steps to be taken for the recovery.

over.

SUBJECT.

of the balance will due on the security bond.

I have th-

for Secretary

SW
07/31/07 JW

List of enclosures.

Copied by: CS 1/4/08

Examined by SW 1/4

MGCPB—30,000—16-2-05

G.R.S. 26]

+ Rs 157

File No.

Serial No

Diary No. of letter replied to Rs 5711

No. 968

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190 .

To

The Deputy Commr
of the Bangalore District

Date of issue 6.7.07

Signed by Rao Bahadur

Sir,

I am directed to invite your reference to this office letter no 9 3040 dated the 2nd April 190 Ch 14-06-6 regarding the recovery of the balance due on the security bond executed by Chandrasekariah late Hazir Principal Munsiff's Court, Bangalore and to request you to be so good as to state how the matter now stands.

I have &c

over.

APR 07

For Secretary

SUBJECT.

Rs SN
5/10/07

SGR
5/7

Comptr
9/10/07

III 4,13-7 J141
of 1907 1907-08.

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to Govt. of Mysore
General Dept.

Dated 11th

Despatched July 1907.

Received

General No.

S No. 19

Enclosure No.

His No. D 68 of 6-7-07.
Cls. 1 & 6

Stating that the recovery of the balance due on the security bond executed by Chandra-Sekharaiah, late Nazir.

Bangalore district.
Dy. Commissioner's Office
11th July 1907

From

D. Devarajiah Esquire
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

C.V. Balasundaram Iyer Esq. B.A.
Offg. Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
Gen. Revenue Depts.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. J. 68/Cls. 14.06 of the 6th July 1907, in re the recovery of the balance due on the Security Bond executed by Chandrasekhariah, late Nazir, Principal Munsiff's Court, I have the honor to state that the Amildar of Bangalore has been directed to ascertain and report what properties Chandrasekhariah and his sureties own. After the receipt of Amildar's report, steps will be

J 1263

1907.8.

FROM

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

6614-06-7

To

The Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen. Secs. Dept.

Dated 11

Despatched 12 November 1907.

Received

General No.
S No. 215

Enclosure No. 1 Petn

His No. J 3040 of 2-4-07
Cts. 14.06-6

—

Reports in the matter of the recovery of Rs 300/- due on the security bond executed by Chandrasekariah, late Nazir, Principal Munsiff's Court, Bangalore and his two sureties.

5.215
E. Hale

Bangalore District
Dy. Commissioner's Office
11th November 1907.

From

D. Devaraj Avl Esquire
Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer Esq. B.A.
Offg. Secy: to the Govt. of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Sir,

According to your letter No
3040 dated 2nd April 1907,
dt. 14.05.6
regarding the recovery of Rs. 300/-
due on the security bond executed
by Chandrasekariah, late Nazir,
Principal Munsiff's Court, Banga-
lore, and his two Sureties, Palyam
Padmanabhaiya and Narayan
Rao. I have the honor to state as
follows:—

The Amildar, who was
directed to make a detailed

enquiry with a view to take steps for the recovery of the amount, reports, that both the Sureties have no property to proceed against, and that they are living on the charity of their relations. In these circumstances it is not possible to take any steps to recover the amount, which has therefore to be written off the account.

The file of records relating to the subject is herein enclosed for reference and kind return

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedt. Servant,
W. Humphrey Chetty
for Dy. Commissioner.

Wd

48.

ab

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 14-01
Serial No. 8

Diary No. of letter replied to 9/263

No J. 1700
Enc 1 file

General and Revenue Secretariat
(68)
, *the* 190

To The Deputy Comr of
the Bangalore District

Date of issue 2-12-1907

Signed by: Mr K. Nagar Rao

Sir,

In returning herewith
the file of records received
with your letter no J. 215
dated the 12th November 1907
re the recovery of the
amount due on the security
bond executed by Chandrasekhara
Lali Nazir, Principal
Munsiffs' Court, Bangalore
and his two Sureties, I
am directed to enquire
why proceedings in
execution for the recovery
of the balance still due

over.

SUBJECT.

Should not be taken against the right, title and interest of Narayan Rao, one of the said Sureties, in the house which is stated to be only mortgaged by him and to be under litigation now.

I have etc

Dewl

For Secretary

Ms SN 26.11.07 26/11/07

1283

List of enclosures

Copied by HR 30/11/07

Examined by SN

GPB—10,000—20-4-06.

J 1656

No 292
E. Office.

Bangalore District
Deputy Commissioners Office
Bangalore 21st December 1907.

From

D. Devaraj Urs Esquire.
Deputy Commissioner
Bangalore District.

To C.S. Balasundaram Iyer Esquire B.A.
Offg: Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to your letter No J.
00 dated 2nd Instant, enquiring why
5: 14-06-8
proceedings in execution for the recovery
of the balance still due on the security-
bond executed by Chandrasekharaya,
late Nazir, Principal Munsiffs Court,
should not be taken against the right,
title and interest in the house of
Varaim Rao one of the sureties, I have
the honor to state that the Public Prose-
cutor to whom the matter was referred
for

J.1656

1907-8

From

The Dy. Commissioner
Bangalore Dist.

To

The Secy. to Govt of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 21/XI

Despatched December 1907

Received

General No.

S No. 292

Enclosure No. 1 to 6.

His No. J 1700 of 2nd Instt.
cts. 14-06-8

—

States why no action was
taken against the properties
of surety Narayan Rao
for the recovery of balance
due on the security bond
executed by Chandrasekariah

Cts file No 14-0'
& No 9

for opinion reports in his memo dated 17th Instant, (filed in the vernacular records) that the house of Narain Rao was once got attached and proclaimed for sale.

2. But no one present at the time of sale came forward to bid, owing to the house being heavily encumbered. Hence no attempt was made again to proceed against the property of Narain Rao.

3. The file of records received with your above reference is herewith resubmitted.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner.

mrg

G.R.S. 26] AR (1658)

Cts File No. 14-06

Serial No 10

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. J. 2126

Encl 1 file

General and Rev. Secretariat

( )

, *the* 190 .

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Bangalore District

Date of issue 13-1-08

Signed by Mr K. Mylarao

Sir,

Adverting to the Correspondence ending with your letter No. 292 dated the 21st December 1907, explaining the circumstances under which no attempt was made for the recovery of the Stile balance of the amount due on the security bond executed by Chandrasekharaiya, late Nazir, Principal Munsiff's Court, Bangalore, by proceeding against the right, title and

over.

SUBJECT.

interest of Narayana Rao, one of the ~~said~~ Sureties, in the house which is stated to be mortgaged by him, I am directed to state that the decree against the said Nazir (and his sureties may be kept alive pending the result of the litigation in connection with the above house.

The file of records received with the letter under advertence is herewith returned.

Thanks,

for Secretary.

Dft
Acs
10/1/08.

List of enclosures,

Copied by 13/1/08

Examined by CK. 13/1/08

9 From the D.C. Bangalore No S.2922/21.12.07
States that the Public Prosecutor reports that the house in
Question was sold at the alleged and proclaimed for
sale; but no one present came forward to bid as the house
was highly encumbered and hence no effort was made
to proceed against the property of Narayana Rao.

10. To the D.C. Bangalore No J2626 D/13.1.08
In reply to 8&9 states that the decree against
Nanjeé and his Sureties, may be kept alive pending
the result of the litigation in connection with the
house mortgaged by one of the Sureties, Narayana